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Abstract. To systematically explore user interfaces, one must cover graphical interaction features (e.g. clicks, swipes) as well as textual interaction features (e.g. form input). We introduce user interface grammars as a single formalism that captures and integrates graphical and textual input languages. A UI grammar encodes graphical interactions and text input as a single (possibly nontrivial) stream of input events, allowing for their uniform treatment in test generation and/or coverage measurement. Grammars can be mined from existing systems (GUI-based or text-based), allow for simple customization by testers (say, for special inputs such as passwords or injection attacks) as well as guidance towards UI (model) coverage and code coverage. Includes live demos!

https://andreas-zeller.info/

A Call for Modularity

• Every testing tool reinvents its own model and analysis
• Makes it hard to assess, compare, and reuse approaches
• Call for solid formal foundations and modular algorithms
• Draw on established fields such as formal languages

Generating Inputs

“If you talk to a man in his language, that goes to his heart.” – Nelson Mandela
Fuzzing

8.2 - 27 - -9 / +((+9 * --2 + --+-+-((-1 * +((8 - 5 - 6)) * (-((-+(((+(4))))) - ++4) / +(-+---((5.6 - --(3 * -1.8 * +(6 * +-((-(-6)* ---+6)) / +--(+-+-7 * (-0 * (+((((((2)) + 8 - 3 - ++9.0 + ---(--+7 / (1 / +++6.37) + (1) / 482) / +++-+0)))) * -+5 + 7.513)))) - (+1 / ++((-84)))))))) * ++5 / +-(--2 - -+9.0)))) / 5 * --++090

Interpreter

Fuzzing means to throw random inputs at a program to see if it crashes.

But if you just take sequences of random characters and throw them at an interpreter, all you're going to get is syntax errors. (It's okay to test syntax error handling, but this should not be all.)

In order to get syntactically valid inputs, you need a specification. A grammar specifies the set of inputs as a language.

Grammars as Producers

You may have seen grammars as parsers, but they can also be used as producers of inputs.
**Grammars as Producers**

You start with a start symbol

\[
\text{\langle start \rangle}
\]

which then subsequently gets replaced according to the production rules in the grammar.

If there are multiple alternatives, you randomly choose one.

\[
\text{\langle term \rangle - \langle expr \rangle}
\]
Grammars as Producers

\[
\text{Grammars as Producers}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{start} &::= \text{expr} \\
\text{expr} &::= \text{term} \cdot (\text{term} - \text{expr} | \text{term}) \\
\text{term} &::= \text{factor} \cdot (\text{term} / (\text{factor}) | (\text{factor}) \cdot (\text{int}) | \text{int}) \\
\text{factor} &::= \text{digit} \cdot (\text{int} | \text{digit}) \\
\text{digit} &::= 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\text{term} - \text{expr}
\]

---

Grammars as Producers

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{start} &::= \text{expr} \\
\text{expr} &::= \text{term} \cdot (\text{term} - \text{expr} | \text{term}) \\
\text{term} &::= \text{factor} \cdot (\text{term} / (\text{factor}) | (\text{factor}) \cdot (\text{int}) | \text{int}) \\
\text{factor} &::= \text{digit} \cdot (\text{int} | \text{digit}) \\
\text{digit} &::= 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\text{factor} - \text{expr}
\]

---

Grammars as Producers

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{start} &::= \text{expr} \\
\text{expr} &::= \text{term} \cdot (\text{term} - \text{expr} | \text{term}) \\
\text{term} &::= \text{factor} \cdot (\text{term} / (\text{factor}) | (\text{factor}) \cdot (\text{int}) | \text{int}) \\
\text{factor} &::= \text{digit} \cdot (\text{int} | \text{digit}) \\
\text{digit} &::= 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\text{int} \cdot \text{int} - \text{expr}
\]

---

Grammars as Producers

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{start} &::= \text{expr} \\
\text{expr} &::= \text{term} \cdot (\text{term} - \text{expr} | \text{term}) \\
\text{term} &::= \text{factor} \cdot (\text{term} / (\text{factor}) | (\text{factor}) \cdot (\text{int}) | \text{int}) \\
\text{factor} &::= \text{digit} \cdot (\text{int} | \text{digit}) \\
\text{digit} &::= 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\text{digit} \cdot \text{int} - \text{expr}
\]
Grammars as Producers

\[ \text{digit} \cdot \text{digit} - \langle \text{expr} \rangle \]

8. \langle \text{digit} \rangle - \langle \text{expr} \rangle

Over time, this gives you a syntactically valid input. In our case, a valid arithmetic expression.

Actually, a pretty complex arithmetic expression.
Fuzzing with Grammars

These can now be used as input to your program.

A couple of years ago, we used a JavaScript grammar to fuzz the interpreters of Firefox, Chrome and Edge.

My student Christian Holler found more than 2,600 bugs, and in the first four weeks, he netted more than $50,000 in bug bounties. If you use a browser to read this, one of the reasons your browser works as it should is because of grammar-based fuzzing.
Mining Grammars

“...the more languages you know, the more you are human.” – Tomáš Garrigue Masaryk

Fuzzing with Grammars

So where did you get this grammar from?

Rules and Locations

The interesting thing is that there is a correspondence between individual rules in the input grammar and locations in the parsing code.

Fussing with Grammars

where do we get the grammar from?

Mining Grammars

So let me tell you a bit about how to mind such grammars. The idea is to take a program that parses such inputs and extract the input grammar from it.

void parse_expr() {
    parse_term();
    if (lookahead() == '+') { consume(); parse_expr(); }
    if (lookahead() == '-') { consume(); parse_expr(); }
}

   (start) ::= (expr)
   (expr) ::= (term) * (expr) | (term) - (expr) | (term)
   (term) ::= (factor) * (factor) | (factor) / (factor) | (factor)
   (factor) ::= + (factor) | - (factor) | ( (expr) ) | (int) | (int) . (int)
   (int) ::= (digit) | (int) | (digit)
   (digit) ::= 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9

void parse_term() {
    parse_digit();
    if (lookahead() == '.') { consume(); parse_int(); }
    if (lookahead() == '+') { consume(); parse_expr(); }
}

void parse_int() {
    ... }

void parse_digit() {
    ... }
The concept of consumption establishes this correspondence. A character is **consumed** in a method \( m \) if \( m \) is the last to access it.

For each input character, we dynamically track where it is consumed:

\[
1 \times (8 - 5)
\]

During program execution we can track where characters are consumed using dynamic tainting.

This gives us a tree like structure.
Which we can augment with caller-callee relations.

Even for those functions which do not consume anything.

If we take the function names and only use the nouns, we can use those nouns as non-terminal symbols.

From these parse trees, we can now mine a grammar.
A term obviously can consist of another term, a multiplication symbol, and a factor.

So we add this as a rule to our grammar.

And likewise for other symbols.
From this single input, we already get the basics of a grammar.

And if we add more inputs, ...

... the grammar reflects the structure of these additional inputs.
Completing the Grammar

{start} ::= (expr)
{expr} ::= (term) + (term) - (term) \* (term) / (term) (term) \{ (term) \} \[ (term) \] \( (term) \) \[ (term) \] \\
{term} ::= \( \text{expr} \) \* (factor) | (factor) \/ (factor) | (factor) \- (factor) | (factor) \+ (factor) | (factor) \{ (term) \} \[ (term) \] \\
{factor} ::= \( \text{term} \) \* (factor) | (factor) \/ (factor) | (factor) \- (factor) | (factor) \+ (factor) | (factor) \{ (term) \} \[ (term) \] \\
{term} ::= \( \text{digit} \) \* (term) | (term) \+ (term) - (term) \* (term) / (term) \{ (term) \} \[ (term) \] \( (term) \) \[ (term) \] \\
{digit} ::= 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9

Parser tree

0 + 2
<3 / -46.79

Mimid: A Grammar Miner

C or Python Program

Inputs

Mimid

Input grammar

Fuzzers

Humans

Parsers

Our Mimid grammar miner takes a program and its inputs and extracts a grammar out of it. This grammar can directly be used by fuzzers, parsers, and humans.

The extracted grammars are well structured and human readable as you can see in this grammar extracted from a JSON parser.

Humans can edit these grammars.
The more languages you know, the more you are human. — Tomáš Garrigue Masaryk

Performance by highly trained professional. Do not try this at home, your university or anywhere else. This change to the grammar injects SQL statements everywhere. Do not do this at home, folks – thank you.

For instance, by assigning probabilities to individual productions.

Or by inserting magic strings that program analysis would have a hard time finding out.

Humans

Fuzzer

Humans

Fuzzer

Humans

Fuzzer

Humans
Filling Forms

"Estimated time for filling out this form is three hours, 12 minutes" – US tax form

Now, let’s move over to user interfaces.

A Form

Here is a graphical user interface with various UI elements.

Interactions

We can express the interaction with the GUI through a series of commands expressing the interactions.

Interactions as Grammars

This neatly integrates with a concept of grammars as you can also express alternatives...
Interactions as Grammars

\[
\text{Interaction} ::= \\
\text{fill}("Name", \langle \text{Name} \rangle) \\
\text{fill}("Email", \langle \text{Email} \rangle) \\
\text{fill}("City", \langle \text{City} \rangle) \\
\text{fill}("Zip", \langle \text{Zip} \rangle) \\
\text{set}("T+C", \langle \text{Boolean} \rangle) \\
\text{submit}("Place order")
\]

\[
\text{Name} ::= \"Andreas Zeller\" | \"David Lo\" | \"(first-name) (last-name)\" | \"(char)\" \\
\text{Email} ::= \"zeller@acm.org\" | \"(e-mail)\" \\
\text{City} ::= \"Saarbrücken\" | \"יד-נירבנ\" \\
\text{Zip} ::= \&66111\ | \&22345\ | \"(digit)\" | \"-(digit)\" \\
\text{Boolean} ::= \text{True} | \text{False}
\]

... as well as generic grammar rules for individual elements ...

... and also invalid(!) values.

Interactions as Grammars

\[
\text{Interaction} ::= \\
\text{fill}("Name", \langle \text{Name} \rangle) \\
\text{fill}("Email", \langle \text{Email} \rangle) \\
\text{fill}("City", \langle \text{City} \rangle) \\
\text{fill}("Zip", \langle \text{Zip} \rangle) \\
\text{set}("T+C", \langle \text{Boolean} \rangle) \\
\text{submit}("Place order")
\]

\[
\text{Name} ::= \"Andreas Zeller\" | \"David Lo\" | \"(first-name) (last-name)\" | \"(char)\" \\
\text{Email} ::= \"zeller@acm.org\" | \"(e-mail)\" | \"'; DROP TABLE STUDENTS\" \\
\text{City} ::= \"Saarbrücken\" | \"יד-נירבנ\" \\
\text{Zip} ::= \&66111\ | \&22345\ | \"(digit)\" | \"-(digit)\" \\
\text{Boolean} ::= \text{True} | \text{False}
\]

Interactions as Grammars

\[
\text{Interaction} ::= \\
\text{fill}("Name", \langle \text{Name} \rangle) \\
\text{fill}("Email", \langle \text{Email} \rangle) \\
\text{fill}("City", \langle \text{City} \rangle) \\
\text{fill}("Zip", \langle \text{Zip} \rangle) \\
\text{set}("T+C", \langle \text{Boolean} \rangle) \\
\text{submit}("Place order")
\]

\[
\text{Name} ::= \"Andreas Zeller\" | \"David Lo\" | \"(first-name) (last-name)\" | \"(char)\" \\
\text{Email} ::= \"zeller@acm.org\" | \"(e-mail)\" | \"'; DROP TABLE STUDENTS\" \\
\text{City} ::= \"Saarbrücken\" | \"יד-נירבנ\" \\
\text{Zip} ::= \&66111\ | \&22345\ | \"(digit)\" | \"-(digit)\" \\
\text{Boolean} ::= \text{True} | \text{False}
\]

Mining User Interface Grammars

\[
\text{Interaction} ::= \\
\text{fill}("Name", \langle \text{Name} \rangle) \\
\text{fill}("Email", \langle \text{Email} \rangle) \\
\text{fill}("City", \langle \text{City} \rangle) \\
\text{fill}("Zip", \langle \text{Zip} \rangle) \\
\text{set}("T+C", \langle \text{Boolean} \rangle) \\
\text{submit}("Place order")
\]

\[
\text{Name} ::= \"Andreas Zeller\" | \"David Lo\" | \"(first-name) (last-name)\" | \"(char)\" \\
\text{Email} ::= \"zeller@acm.org\" | \"(e-mail)\" | \"'; DROP TABLE STUDENTS\" \\
\text{City} ::= \"Saarbrücken\" | \"יד-נירבנ\" \\
\text{Zip} ::= \&66111\ | \&22345\ | \"(digit)\" | \"-(digit)\" \\
\text{Boolean} ::= \text{True} | \text{False}
\]

• For each UI element, determine the set of valid inputs
• The more accessible the form, the more precise the grammar

Such grammars can be easily mined – say, by analyzing the HTML tags.
Generating Inputs

“If you talk to a man in his language, that goes to his heart.” – Nelson Mandela

User Interfaces

“No matter how cool your interface is, it would be better if there were less of it.” — Alan Cooper

Now from forms to sequences of windows.

A Form

Here again, we see a form. But this is just part of a larger set of screens that are all interconnected.
If for instance, you click on terms and conditions, you get a window that explains these terms and conditions. If you place your order, you get a confirmation window.

Classically, these different windows are represented as states, and interactions to change between windows become transitions.

The resulting finite state model, however, does not state the rules for textual input.

However, we can integrate both by embedding the finite state model into a grammar.
A User Interface Grammar

Every state in the final state model then becomes a non-terminal in the grammar.

A User Interface Grammar

And each transition becomes an expansion of the source state (nonterminal), listing of the interactions and ending in the target state.
A User Interface Grammar

Multiple transitions become alternatives.
A User Interface Grammar

How do we specify inputs to be generated?

(Start) ::= (Order Form)
(Order Form) ::= click("Terms and Conditions") (Terms and Conditions)
| fill(...) submit("Place Order") (Thank You)
(Terms and Conditions) ::= click("Order Form") (Order Form)
(Thank You) ::= click("Order Form") (Order Form)

What you get is a grammar that is a one to one representation of the original finite state model.

Except that you can also make use of grammar features
A User Interface Grammar

(Start) ::= (Order Form)
(Order Form) ::= click("Terms and Conditions") (Terms and Conditions)
   | fill("Name", (Name ))
   | fill("Email", (Mail ) )
   | fill("City", (City ) )
   | fill("Zip", (Zip ) )
   | set("T+C", (Boolean ) )
   | submit("Place order")
(Term and Conditions) ::= click("Order Form") (Order Form)
(Thank You) ::= click("Order Form") (Order Form)
(Email) ::= "zeller@acm.org" | "david@lo.com"
(Name) ::= "Andreas Zeller" | "David Lo" | "أحمد علي، الحسن بن الحسن بن الحسن" | "アンドレアス・ツェラー"

Covering Alternatives = Model Coverage

If you systematically cover all alternatives in the grammar, you will also cover all transitions and all states in the finite state model.

Other Benefits

- UI grammars can be used for parsing as well as production
  - Reuse and mutate existing input sequences and tests
  - Use search-based evolutionary test generation techniques
- UI grammars can encode multiple input sources
  - Fuzz with network inputs, intents, OS interaction
- UI grammars can be represented as visual finite state model
  - Just in case you prefer diagrams over text

Demo
User Interface Grammars for Android

- Droidgram implements UI grammar mining for Android
- Uses the Droidmate-2 test generator to obtain initial seeds
- Seamless transition between state models and grammar models

https://github.com/natanieljr/droidgram

We have implemented this approach on android, where it mines and applies UI grammars of various apps.

User Interface Grammars for Android

- Evaluated on 46 apps from F-Droid (1,347 to 72,056 statements)
- Mined UI grammars cover transitions and code faster with fewer inputs
- Integrates with any established technique for grammar-based testing

https://github.com/natanieljr/droidgram

This leads to faster exploration of states and code with fewer inputs.

User Interfaces

"No matter how cool your interface is, it would be better if there were less of it." — Alan Cooper

Perspectives

"It has long been an axiom of mine that the little things are infinitely the most important." — A.C. Doyle

So, where does this leave us?
A Call for Modularity

- Every testing tool reinvents its own model and analysis
- Makes it hard to assess, compare, and reuse approaches
- Call for solid formal foundations and modular algorithms
- Draw on established fields such as formal languages

Keep in mind that we are only talking about a small slice here in which formal languages can support software engineering. There are many ways languages, applications, and domains, can be combined.

Perspectives

"It has long been an axiom of mine that the little things are infinitely the most important." — A.C. Doyle
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Abstract. To systematically explore user interfaces, one must cover graphical interaction features (e.g. clicks, swipes) as well as textual interaction features (e.g. form input). We introduce user interface grammars as a single formalism that captures and integrates
Embedding State Models

Rahul Gopinath, Björn Mathis, and Andreas Zeller.

Order Form

Thank You

Terms and Conditions

Start

Mimid = MOBILESoft 2020 Keynote
Andreas Zeller

User Interface Grammars

• For testing and reproducing
• For use in automated testing
• For use in automated generation

Mimid = MOBILESoft 2020 Keynote
Andreas Zeller


Every testing tool reinvents its own model and analysis. Grammars can be mined from existing systems (GUI-based or text-based), allow for simple customization by testers (say, for special inputs such as passwords or injection attacks) as well as guidance towards UI (model) coverage and code coverage. Includes live demos!

https://andreas-zeller.info/
https://www.cispa.saarland/
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